



MARINE ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION
COMMITTEE
58th session
Agenda item 4

MEPC 58/4/38
15 August 2008
Original: ENGLISH

PREVENTION OF AIR POLLUTION FROM SHIPS

Technical considerations for determining GHG reduction targets from ships

Submitted by Canada

SUMMARY

<i>Executive summary:</i>	Further to the report of the GHG Working Group, this document proposes some further considerations for determining the target reduction in setting the required index as part of the CO ₂ design index
<i>Strategic direction:</i>	7.3
<i>High-level action:</i>	7.3.1
<i>Planned output:</i>	7.3.1.3
<i>Action to be taken:</i>	Paragraph 12
<i>Related documents:</i>	MEPC 58/4 and GHG-WG 1/2/1

Introduction

1 This document is submitted in accordance with paragraph 4.10.5 of the Guidelines on the organization and method of work of the Committees and their subsidiary bodies (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.2) and comments on document MEPC 58/4.

2 At its first intersessional meeting in Oslo, Norway in June 2008, the Working Group on Greenhouse Gases devised a mandatory new ships CO₂ design index comprising of an attained CO₂ index for an individual ship that would be compared to a required CO₂ index for that ship's class. The required CO₂ index is derived from plotting a curve of known ships' emissions data against tonnage then applying a reduction factor.

3 This approach examined CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion by ship size, and made use of a statistical regression curve comparing CO₂ emissions levels to ship size. It assumed that the entire curve could be lowered linearly by a set percentage (*X*) to determine a possible reduction target.

For reasons of economy, this document is printed in a limited number. Delegates are kindly asked to bring their copies to meetings and not to request additional copies.

4 The resulting formula gave the required index.

$$\text{Required design CO}_2 \text{ index} = \left(1 - \frac{X}{100}\right) \times \text{baseline value}$$

Considerations for setting reduction targets

5 Recognizing that the value of the reduction target, X , would need to reflect both the practical needs of ships and their objectives for reducing GHGs, the Working Group noted a number of considerations with regard to reduction targets for GHG emissions from ships. Canada is of the view that technical information based on the physics inherent to ship's movement should be a key element in setting reduction targets for the design index.

6 A ship has a minimum required mass for its intended task and needs to operate at a minimum required speed. This requires that its engine(s) produce enough power to overcome the resistance of water; a function of the mass of the ship, the water, and hydrodynamics of the hull shape to push water out of the path of the ship. Considering the variation in ship design, a simple percentage reduction target may not be appropriate for application across all classes and sizes of ships.

7 In particular, larger ships tend to be more efficient, while smaller vessels are more constrained by minimum mass and power requirements. The derived baseline curve in Denmark's document GHG WG 1/2/1 suggests an exponential relationship between CO₂ emissions and tonnage for smaller ships, while a largely linear relationship is deemed more appropriate for larger ships (over 200,000 DWT).

8 Given this difference between small and large ships, a sliding scale reduction target for the design index could be derived based on a ship's deadweight tonnage. Such a scale could be set to meet an overall reduction target for ships. In this way, the design index could reflect the maximum opportunity for GHG efficiencies from ships.

9 Reduction targets should take into account the minimum energy needs of each ship category. For any ship there is a minimum effort required to move its mass through the water. The minimum effort is a function of two opposing forces, propulsion and resistance, which drive a ship's design. Propulsion efficiency is a function of the engines and propellers to transfer energy from fuel, while resistance is a function of required energy to overcome the ship's inertia and move the ship through the water – be it calm seas, rough seas, or opposing currents. In determining a required reduction, it would be worthwhile to consider these minimum energy needs for propulsion.

10 As well, a ship's auxiliary functions also have minimum energy requirements. Heating and cooling, crew accommodations, cargo handling equipment, electrical controls, and other machinery all require energy, which is provided either from main engines or auxiliary engines for such purpose.

11 Canada intends to carry out technical studies to examine these needs to guide discussions in setting achievable reduction targets. Interested parties are welcome to participate or may seek additional information from our national contact below¹. Unfortunately, document deadlines for MEPC 58 after the intersessional GHG working group meeting did not allow this information to be developed in the timeframe allowed to submit this document.

Action requested of the Committee

12 The Committee is invited to take note of this information and take action as appropriate.

¹ **Contact:**
Mr. Paul Topping, Manager
Environmental Protection
Transport Canada Marine Safety
Place de Ville, Tower C, 330 Sparks St., 10th Floor
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N8 CANADA
Tel: 613-991-3168 Fax: 613-993-8196
Email: toppinp@tc.gc.ca